UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	CASE No. 4:16CR88 (#22)
)	
Plaintiff,)	JUDGE SARA LIOI
)	Magistrate Judge George J. Limbert
v.)	
)	
TELISHA STANFORD,)	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
)	OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE
Defendant.)	

Pursuant to General Order 99-49, this case was referred on May 27, 2016 to United States Magistrate Judge George J. Limbert for the purposes of receiving, on consent of the parties, Defendant Telisha Stanford's proffer of a plea of guilty, conducting the colloquy prescribed by Fed. R. Crim. P. 11, causing a verbatim record of the proceedings to be prepared, referring the matter for presentence investigation, and submitting a Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation stating whether the plea should be accepted and a finding of guilty entered. ECF Dkt. #213. The following, along with the transcript or other record of the proceedings submitted herewith, constitutes the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation concerning the plea of guilty proffered by Defendant Stanford.

- 1. On June 2, 2016, Defendant Telisha Stanford, accompanied by Attorney Joseph W. Gardner, executed a consent to referral of her case to a United States Magistrate Judge for the purpose of receiving her guilty plea.
 - 2. Defendant Stanford then proffered a plea of guilty to Count One of the Indictment.
- 3. Prior to such proffer, Defendant Stanford was examined as to her competency, advised of the charges and consequences of conviction, informed that the Court is not bound to apply the

Case: 4:16-cr-00088-SL Doc #: 273 Filed: 06/02/16 2 of 2. PageID #: 714

Federal Sentencing Guidelines but must consult the guidelines and take them into consideration when

it imposes the sentence and of the possibility of a departure from the Guidelines, notified of her

rights, advised that she was waiving all of her rights except the right to counsel, and, if such were the

case, her right to appeal, and otherwise provided with the information prescribed in Fed. Crim. R. 11.

4. The undersigned was advised that a written plea agreement existed between the

parties, and no other commitments or promises have been made by any party, and no other written

or unwritten agreements have been made between the parties.

5. The undersigned questioned Defendant Stanford under oath about the knowing,

intelligent, and voluntary nature of the plea of guilty, and the undersigned believes that Defendant

Stanford's plea was offered knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily.

6. The parties provided the undersigned with sufficient information about the charged

offenses and Defendant Stanford's conduct to establish a factual basis for the plea.

In light of the foregoing, and the record submitted herewith, the undersigned concludes that

Defendant Stanford's plea was knowing, intelligent, and voluntary, and all requirements imposed by

the United States Constitution and Fed. R. Crim. P. 11 have been satisfied.

Accordingly, the undersigned recommends that the plea of guilty be accepted and a finding

of guilty be entered by the Court as to Count One of the Indictment.

Date: June 2, 2016

/s/George J. Limbert

George J. Limbert

United States Magistrate Judge

ANY OBJECTIONS to this Report and Recommendation must be filed with the Clerk of Court within fourteen (14) days of service of this notice. Fed. R. Crim. P. 59. Failure to file objections within the specified time constitutes a WAIVER of the right to appeal the Magistrate

Judge's recommendation.

-2-